



2006 National Convention

Crown Plaza Hotel
Orlando, Florida
October 6-8, 2006

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2006

I. WELCOME

II. INTRODUCTION OF BOARD MEMBERS

Chair – Ruby Hernandez
 Vice-Chair – Alejandro Rios, not present
 Director of Finance – Brenda Tollinchi
 Director of Public Relations – Roxanna Latifi, not present
 Director of Recording – vacant (minutes taken by Laura P. Soria, Gamma Phi Omega)
 Director of Parliamentary Procedure – Vivianna Gonzales, not present
 RVC Northeast – June Jimenez
 RVC Great Plains – Lisa Cano
 RVC Southeast – Ashley Cisneros
 RVC Midwest – Veronica Rodriguez, not present

III. ROLL CALL

22 reps present, 2/3 = 15, majority vote = 12

Organization	Official rep	Roll Call 10/6	Straw Poll B p.3
Alpha Pi Sigma	Jessica Mix	P	F
Alpha Psi Lambda	Raul Avila	P	F
Alpha Rho Lambda	n/a		
Chi Upsilon Sigma	Merie Daniels Miller	P	F
Gamma Alpha Omega	Marcia Torres-Ruiz	P	F
Gamma Phi Omega	Laura P. Soria	P	F
Gamma Zeta Alpha	Alejandro Pineda	P	F
Hermandad de Sigma Iota Alpha	Ivone De Jesus	P	F
Kappa Delta Chi	Elizet Rodriguez	P	F
Lambda Alpha Upsilon	n/a		
Lambda Pi Chi	Marilyn Nieves	P	F
Lambda Pi Upsilon	Yanira Rodriguez	P	F
Lambda Sigma Upsilon	Oscar Munoz	P	A
Lambda Theta Alpha	Claudia Barrera	P	F
Lambda Theta Nu	Fina Perez	P	F
Lambda Theta Phi	Julio Marengo	P	O
Lambda Upsilon Lambda	Nick Tovar	P	F
Nu Alpha Kappa	Fernando Sanchez	P	F
Omega Delta Phi	George Rodriguez	P	F
Omega Phi Beta	Debora Freitas Lopez	P	F
Phi Iota Alpha	Alex Yepes	P	F
Sigma Lambda Beta	Roberto Torres	P	F
Sigma Lambda Gamma	Nellie Nevarez	P	F
Sigma Lambda Upsilon	Melodie Maldonado	P	F

(P=present) (F=Favor) (O=Opposed) (A=Abstained)

Interest Group: Delta Tau Lambda Digna Feliciano P

IV. OVERVIEW OF COALITION MEETING (attach copy of power point presentation)

Presented by Ruby - Discussed myths, pilot study to commence February 2007, explanation of visits, assessments, costs, logistics, etc. Concerns were addressed with how the study would affect the NALFO groups, is it possible that the study will not address the needs of the NALFO member organizations on those campuses; will we get swept under the rug since we are a minority group? How will the data be valued since there are several groups being studied?

Suggestions were made to tailor and train the NALFO volunteers for the study.

V. GOAL SETTING FOR A SUCCESSFUL ORGANIZATION

Why did your organization join NALFO?

GROUP 1:

National recognition, empowerment, support, resources, and unified voice

GROUP 2:

Address mutual concerns, have a voice with the administration, networking, to get onto a new campus it is required

GROUP 3:

To join a national organization governing body that share similar goals and ideals, to show support

GROUP 4:

Seeking an umbrella organization that reflects our interests and backgrounds

BREAK @ 8:45 p.m. for appetizers sponsored by HRH/Kirklin & Co., LLC

Why does your organization continue to be in NALFO?

GROUP 1:

(See response to question 1)...and expansion, future possibilities and sustainability

GROUP 2:

Need for NALFO when dealing with administration, long term NALFO will be the organization/council, representing a unified front, serve as a resource for organizations (i.e. insurance)

GROUP 3:

Communication and cooperation between organizations, to continue the support (each other, decisions made)

GROUP 4:

Leverage (opportunities offered to individual organizations), patience and foresight (eventually will arrive to what expect NALFO to be)

What does your organization want from NALFO?

GROUP 1:

Organizational development, high standards, respected internally/externally, Greek advisors view NALFO as resource, increase awareness of LGLO's with other national organizations and advisors, more NALFO councils, return of investment (in relation to financial report/quantitative data)

GROUP 2:

To set national standards and to be accountable for organizations and for NALFO board to be accountable, to be THE voice for LGLO's, also refer to reply to question 1

GROUP 3:

Improve structure (NALFO's board), organized (to be efficient), a presence on our campuses (to establish local NALFO councils), insurance (general liability insurance for NALFO), provide a tangible benefit (being able to network Alums/Undergrads, partnering with other corporations i.e. Monster.com)

GROUP 4:

Professional representation (in public forums) and advocacy (efforts/needs/issues), higher standards (on all levels – across the board, accountability), to make a difference in the larger Greek community and Latino Greek community (locally and nationally)

What do your chapters want from NALFO?

GROUP 1:

Local delivery of services, i.e. programming, increase awareness of NALFO – direct exposure (being more visible), support of Greek life, advocacy to the university/campus administrators of NALFO

GROUP 2:

Our chapters don't want anything because they are not familiar with NALFO, set councils, guidance (dealing with administration), mediation (dealing with other NALFO organizations), collaboration opportunities

GROUP 3:

A resource (professional skills, share programming), networking, being able to promote the NALFO brand/logo the identity

GROUP 4:

Local support (whatever needs are present, NALFO can assist on addressing)

BONUS: Do you think NALFO should continue to have an annual convention, and if so, why? If the answer is yes or no, explain and give another potential possibility.

Yes: Groups 2 & 4 (w/conditions) – NALFO needs to attract undergraduates (would increase revenue), make it a meeting with reps and their respective national boards (networking); if it could be used as an actual fundraising opportunity

No: Group 1 (no annual, yes to a national convention) w/part B – to have annual regional meeting with RVC's (educate collegiate members of NALFO and that RVC's are advocated for their needs, support for local council) and do a bi-annual convention with something that would attract the undergrads i.e. step shows

Group 3: Undecided. Did not choose yes or no.

Possibility?

How do you feel about us partnering with other historically Latino Greek leadership conferences if it was co-sponsored by NALFO in lieu of an undergraduate focused Convention?

- There would be an undergraduate component
- There would also be a NALFO component

NALFO needs to define itself and what its purpose is now and in the future.

Discussion of standards v. regulatory body – response of groups were unanimous to the responses of the other groups in that we all brought up topics that are relevant to becoming more of a regulatory body or at the last setting standards for NALFO members

Creating, moving and establishing standards that all NALFO organizations can agree to

STRAW POLL A:

Is your organization in favor of additional membership requirements to retain membership in NALFO?

Results: 18 in favor, 1 opposed, 2 abstentions

VI. SETTING THE STANDARDS

Member organizations were asked to review the current Bylaws, Article II - Good Standing, Section 2.01 Maintenance, and discuss if there are any areas that NALFO should add or increase within these requirements

The body felt that the current requirements were sufficient but felt we should:

- expand on defining "active participation"
- GPA needs to be addressed on a group consensus, membership requirements
- each organization shall maintain and show proof of incorporation to be added to membership requirement
- maintain five active entities (e.g. chapters/colonies/associations)

Expansion guidelines:

- state requirement – representation in "x" number of states
- number of active entities

Governing bodies

- must have a national board

STRAW POLL B: (refer to Section III – Roll Call of minutes for results)

- A. Is your organization in favor of requiring all member organizations to submit an academic policy as part of membership review? (membership committee to address changes in bylaws) Ruby will update the shared standards to reflect that it applies to local chapters and their national organizations as a separate document from the local council shared standards

VII. MEETING ADJOURNED 11:00 P.M.

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 07, 2006

I. CALL TO ORDER 8:28 A.M.

II. INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

Kyle A. Pendleton, AFA President-Elect

III. ROLL CALL

Chair – Ruby Hernandez

Vice-Chair – Alejandro Rios, not present

Director of Finance – Brenda Tollinchi

Director of Public Relations – Roxanna Latifi, not present

Director of Recording – vacant (minutes taken by Laura P. Soria, Gamma Phi Omega)

Director of Parliamentary Procedure – Vivianna Gonzales, not present

RVC Northeast – June Jimenez

RVC Great Plains – Lisa Cano

RVC Southeast – Ashley Cisneros

RVC Midwest – Veronica Rodriguez, not present

22 reps present, 2/3 = 15, majority vote = 12 (except for 5th proposal, see note under

Organization	Official rep	Roll Call 10/7	Proposal Attendance	5 th Proposal
Alpha Pi Sigma	Jessica Mix	P	P	N
Alpha Psi Lambda	Raul Avila	P	P	N
Alpha Rho Lambda	n/a			
Chi Upsilon Sigma	Merie Daniels Miller	P	P	Y
Gamma Alpha Omega	Marcia Torres-Ruiz	P	P	
Gamma Phi Omega	Laura P. Soria	P	P	N
Gamma Zeta Alpha	Alejandro Pineda	P	P	N
Hermanidad de Sigma Iota Alpha	Ivone De Jesus	P	P	Y
Kappa Delta Chi	Elizet Rodriguez	P	P	N
Lambda Alpha Upsilon	n/a			
Lambda Pi Chi	Marilyn Nieves	P	P	Y
Lambda Pi Upsilon	Yanira Rodriguez	P	P	Y
Lambda Sigma Upsilon	Oscar Munoz	P	P	Y
Lambda Theta Alpha	Claudia Barrera	P	P	Y
Lambda Theta Nu	Fina Perez	P	P	Y
Lambda Theta Phi	Julio Marengo	P	P	Y
Lambda Upsilon Lambda	Nick Tovar	P	P	Y
Nu Alpha Kappa	Fernando Sanchez	P	P	
Omega Delta Phi	George Rodriguez	P	P	Y
Omega Phi Beta	Debora Freitas Lopez	P	P	Y
Phi Iota Alpha	Alex Yepes	P	P	Y
Sigma Lambda Beta	Roberto Torres	P	P	Y
Sigma Lambda Gamma	Nellie Nevarez	P	P	N
Sigma Lambda Upsilon	Melodie Maldonado	P	P	Y

(P=present) (F=Favor) (O=Opposed) (A=Abstained) Shaded areas were unable to vote

IV. ACCEPTANCE OF AGENDA

Move to accept agenda as written, Laura P. Soria (Gamma Phi Omega)

2nd: Debora Freitas Lopez (Omega Phi Beta)

Favor: unanimous

V. ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES

Move to accept the Philadelphia May 2006 minutes as written, Laura (Gamma Phi Omega)

2nd: Debora Freitas Lopez (Omega Phi Beta)

Discussion: corrections – appendix 1 correct Gamma Alpha Omega, representative should be Marcia Torres-Ruiz; page one – Stephanie Cambria, Mid-Atlantic region should read absent; page two - obtain copy of appendix 2 from Debora Freitas Lopez (Omega Phi Beta) to add to minutes; make correction to Merie Daniels name to read as such;

Favor: unanimous

VI. INTRODUCTION OF PRESENTER

Juan R. Guardia, Phi Iota Alpha Fraternity, Inc. – Nuestra identidad y experiencias (Our identity and experiences): Ethnic identity development of Latino fraternity members at a Hispanic-Serving Institution – attach copy of power point presentation

VII. BOARD REPORTS

Chair – attach copy of power point presentation

Director of Finance – attach all copies of reports to minutes, will submit electronic copy of budget and policy & procedures to body

RVC Western Region – attach power point presentation, add members to group by using invite members feature, scroll down and add members directly

RVC Southwest Region – attach power point presentation

RVC Southeast Region – attach power point presentation

RVC Midwest Region – report read by Ruby, attach to minutes

RVC Northeast – attach power point presentation

RVC Mid-Atlantic – vacant

BREAK @ 10:20 a.m.

Call back to order @ 10:38 a.m.

VIII. COMMITTEE REPORTS

Business Meeting – keeping costs down to a minimum and keeping a base skeletal type of budget

Membership – presented by Debora Freitas Lopez (Omega Phi Beta) on behalf of committee, discussion of member organizations not in good standing brought up for removal and several organizations still do not have proof of insurance

Move to revoke the organizations' vote during the motion to revoke their voice due to bad standing until they are in good standing per the bylaws (Gamma Alpha Omega, Nu Alpha Kappa)

2nd: Melodie Maldonado (Sigma Lambda Upsilon)

Favor: unanimous

Move to revoke Gamma Alpha Omega's vote ~~voice~~ due to their bad standing until they are in good standing per the bylaws

2nd: Alex Yepes (Phi Iota Alpha)

Discussion: the reason they are in bad standing is they lack an insurance policy, Gamma Alpha Omega discussed their current research of insurance coverage and answered questions by the membership, their intent is to have insurance within the next six months, no later than May 2007; concerns were addressed that Gamma Alpha Omega has continued to research insurance and previously promised to attain insurance and yet to date they still do not have coverage

POC: Article II, Good Standing – only voting privileges may be revoked per bylaws

FRAM: move to amend change voice to vote, accepted by Debora

Move to vote: Melodie Maldonado (Sigma Lambda Upsilon)
2nd Alex Yepes (Phi Iota Alpha)
Favor: unanimous

Move to revoke Nu Alpha Kappa's vote until they are in good standing per the bylaws
2nd: Raul (Alpha Psi Lambda)

Discussion: Nu Alpha Kappa discussed current plan is to have insurance by September 2007
Favor: abstention – Lambda Pi Upsilon, opposed – Sigma Iota Alpha, passes

Move to revoke Alpha Rho Lambda's membership from NALFO
2nd: Alex Yepes (Phi Iota Alpha)

Discussion: what is the historical background of why they are not in good standing, was placed in bad standing after membership review for missing every meeting except for the last three meetings in a row, voting privileges were revoked in May's meeting, they stated their intentions were to continue to attend the meetings, e-mail was submitted to the reps regarding re-evaluating their membership within NALFO and addressing their concerns with the chapter requirement; no house rules to bring them to associate member status; member organization was made aware of the ramifications by the committee;
Favor: abstention – Lambda Pi Upsilon, passes

Committee Proposals: (attach copy of proposals to minutes)

Article II. Good Standing

To maintain good standing member organizations must meet the criteria listed in section 2.01. Members not in good standing may have their voting privileges revoked by two-thirds majority of voting organizations until they are in good standing. If any organization is in bad standing of two consecutive years they will have their membership in NALFO will be automatically removed.

2nd: Alex Yepes (Phi Iota Alpha)

Discussion: concerned with the language “automatic”, does not leave opportunity for member organizations to speak on their behalf; member organizations would like to vote on the issue; not agreeing with the two year consecutive number; concern with the criteria; clarify that this motion does not create a de facto two year minimum;

FRAM: If any organization is in bad standing for two consecutive years their NALFO membership will be considered for removal by 2/3 majority of voting organizations, accepted by Debora

2nd: Alex Yepes (Phi Iota Alpha)
Favor: No – Omega Delta Phi, passes

Move to limit 5 minutes for the motion
2nd: Merie Daniels Miller (Chi Upsilon Sigma)
Favor: unanimous

Section 2.01 Maintenance

To maintain good standing, member organizations must meet the following criteria:

- a. *Shall be current with membership dues.*
- b. *Shall be in compliance with all NALFO Policies.*
- c. *Shall be in attendance at 3 out of 4 previous meetings*
- d. *Shall be an active and participating organization.*
- e. *Shall enforce and submit a copy of their Anti-Hazing Policy, which will be kept on file.*
- f. *Shall maintain General and Liability Insurance with a minimum policy value of \$1,000,000. Copy of official certificate must be submitted annually for membership review.*
- g. *Shall maintain a Risk Management Policy, which will be kept on file.*
- h. *Shall maintain a bank account with a certified financial institution regulated under the FDIC financial institution. Official confirmation letter from the certified financial institution bank statement with organizational name must be submitted annually for membership review.*
- i. *Shall continue to have a minimum of five collegiate chapters recognized at accredited ~~at~~ four-year institutions.*

- j. *Each NALFO organization, that does not have a physical headquarters, must have an established P.O. Box representing a headquarters address (this does not require a physical office space but it establishes a formal business mailing address).*

Discussion: clarification on the bank statement verification; clarification on what will affect membership status, this would affect member organizations until May

2nd: Melodie Maldonado (Sigma Lambda Upsilon)

FRAM: add anti-Hazing to letter (e), accepted by Debora; (i) remove second "at", accepted by Debora; Chapters must be located in at least two different states, not accepted by Debora; to make letter (i) it's own separate motion, not accepted by Debora; (h) Shall maintain a bank account with a certified financial institution regulated under the FDIC, accepted by Debora, (h) second sentence: ~~NALFO will request a~~ Official confirmation letter from the certified financial institution must be submitted to ensure good standing; (f) second sentence, add "Copy of" official certificate...., accepted by Debora;

Move to separate letter (i) from the current motion

2nd: Nellie Nevarez (Sigma Lambda Gamma)

Move to vote – Debora Freitas Lopez (Omega Phi Beta)

2nd: Julio Marengo (Lambda Theta Phi)

Favor: unanimous

Move to vote for the maintenance language

2nd: Alex Yepes (Phi Iota Alpha)

Favor: unanimous

Move to amend: to include letter (i) in Section 2.01 of Article II of the bylaws to read "Shall continue to have a minimum of five collegiate chapters recognized at accredited four-year institutions. Chapters must be located in at least two different states." – by Melodie Maldonado (Sigma Lambda Upsilon)

2nd: Alex Yepes (Phi Iota Alpha)

Discussion: Alpha Pi Sigma and Gamma Zeta Alpha expressed concerns with their current number of chapters and how this motion will affect their organization and the current direction of their expansion efforts; possibly excluding California, Texas and Florida;

POC: Lambda Pi Chi – address lettering of original motion to move letter (j) to (i), noted.

FRAM: sunrise clause: that this take place for current members within two years as of 10/7/06, accepted by Melodie

Favor: fails

LUNCH BREAK @ 12:05 p.m. - 1:00 p.m.

Recognition of (add speaker name) – founder of Lambda Theta Phi

BREAK @ 2:15 p.m

Call to order @ 2:26 p.m.

Recognition of speaker, Kyle A. Pendleton, AFA President-Elect

AFA/NALFO – partnering for success (Attach copy of power point presentation)

Resume discussion on membership @ 3:15 p.m.

Move to reconsider item (i)

2nd: Alex Yepes (Phi Iota Alpha)

Favor: unanimous

Move to divide the item (i) into two parts, first part "Shall continue to have a minimum of five collegiate chapters recognized at accredited four-year institutions." Second Part "Chapters must be located in at least two different states."

2nd: Alex Yepes (Phi Iota Alpha)

Favor: unanimous

Move to add letter (j) (name section) “Shall continue to have a minimum of five collegiate chapters recognized at accredited four-year institutions.”

2nd: Alex Yepes (Phi Iota Alpha)

Favor: unanimous

Move to add letter (k) (name section) “ Chapters must be located in at least two different states.”

2nd: none

Favor: dead

Move to add under Article II Good Standing, Section 2.02 Excused Absences “One absence out of every four meetings will be excused only if notice is given 30-days prior to start of Business Meeting or Convention. Excuse letters must be submitted to the Director of Recording. Excused absences are not counted against organization in review”

Discussion: KDX where does the excuse go and who makes the exception, explanation that the membership committee is the vehicle and the NALFO membership makes the final decisions

2nd: Julio

Favor: SLG – opposed, passes

Move to delete Section 2.04

2nd: Julio

Discussion: with the elimination of the point system what constitutes passing? Debora addressed what the committee felt was important was to delete the point system; what happens with scenarios beyond the control of the representative of the member organizations which cause them to not attend a meeting; explanation is given that a contact attempt should be made to the board if less than 30 days of a meeting, the membership committee can bring up an organization on bad standing

Favor: abstentions – Alpha Psi Lambda & Lambda Pi Upsilon abstention, opposed – Omega Delta Phi & Sigma Iota Alpha, passes

Finance

Brenda presented (attach copy of power point presentation)

Discussion: expenses were allocated at worse case scenario and averaged out for budgeting purposes, concerns regarding income, are we considering other avenues aside from dues?, what percentage of fundraising is being taken into consideration, answered that 15% of budget was allocated for fundraising, body felt was too low of a percentage should be higher, NALFO should tap into corporate sponsorships to offset costs

Move to suspend Article I, Section 1.04 Dues

2nd: Julio

Favor: unanimous

Move that the board of directors will present to the NALFO body a new dues structure by October 31, 2006 - by Alex Yepes (Phi Iota Alpha)

2nd: Raul

Discussion: please review the assessment, all documents will be sent electronically to the groups; budget might change in numbers depending on the vote of the AFA conference proposal; Finance director will propose the final dues amount to be voted by the body; suggestion to re-review the budget to lower the potential \$1,000 dues amount taking all possible scenarios into consideration; member organizations can review the budget and submit suggestions as desired to the finance director

Favor: unanimous

Move that all organizations vote online by November 30, 2006 - by Alex Yepes (Phi Iota Alpha)

2nd: Julio Marengo (Lambda Theta Phi)

Discussion: yahoo poll voting online, public

Favor: abstention Claudia Barrera (Lambda Theta Alpha), passes

Programming

Motion: to begin with Lambda Theta Phi’s proposals

2nd: Claudia Barrera (Lambda Theta Alpha)

Discussion: We need to be out of the business meeting by 5:30 p.m. Julio Marengo explained that doing it we proceed this way it would speed things up and ensure that we make it to the banquet on time

Favor: opposed – Omega Delta Phi & Alpha Psi Lambda, passes

IX. LAMBDA THETA PHI PROPOSALS (attach copy of proposals)

Roll Call - taken prior to review of proposals to ensure all representatives were in the room to vote. Due to the removal of voting rights for Gamma Zeta Alpha and Gamma Alpha Omega, a total of 13 votes was needed for any of the proposals to pass. Refer to roll call chart on p. 4 for voting regarding the fifty proposal.

Move to create under Article II, Section 2.04 entitled Membership Intake “NALFO member organizations shall not induct any first term freshmen”

2nd: Merie Daniels Miller (Chi Upsilon Sigma)

Discussion: placing academics as a priority; to have the rest of the NALFO member organizations do this will cause a national support; concern that the member organizations are being told to do this; do we cause harm to freshmen by accepting into process?;

FRAM: shall not induct or accept any new members that are first term/quarter/semester freshmen, accepted by Julio

POC: where will this be added in our current NALFO documentation

2nd: Yanira Rodriguez (Lambda Pi Upsilon)

Favor: opposed – Kappa Delta Chi, Omega Delta Phi, Gamma Zeta Alpha, Sigma Lambda Gamma, passes

Move to create Article V, Section 5.01 (a) that states “In order to be nominated for a position on the NALFO Executive Board, candidates must have graduated at least 3 years from an accredited four-year undergraduate institution.”

2nd: Yanira Rodriguez. (Lambda Pi Upsilon)

Discussion: RVC's are not considered part of the executive board; concern that there are empty seats currently this bylaw might limit the potential volunteers; potential candidates should have some type of experience to be considered candidates for the NALFO board;

POC: is it three years after they have graduated, yes; this would get place

FRAM: to change the language to read “...candidates must have graduated from an accredited four-year undergraduate institution and have had alumni status for at least three years”, accepted by Julio; to change it from 3 years to 2 years, accepted by Julio

Favor: opposed – Omega Delta Phi, Alpha Psi Lambda, passes

Move to create Article IV, Section 4.08 “A member of the NALFO Executive Board shall officially represent NALFO at all national leadership and Greek-related meetings as determined by the membership at the each year's business meeting.”

2nd: Claudia B. (Lambda Theta Alpha)

Discussion: can we pass this without having approved the budget?; once budget is approved we will be able to determine where the board will need to travel; it reads that a member of the NALFO executive board, could an RVC go in their place

FRAM: ...business meeting; dependent on NALFO's operating budget, Julio accepted; Executive Board representative, Julio accepted.

Favor: abstentions – Kappa Delta Chi, Alpha Psi Lambda, passes

Move to create Article II, Section 2.04 (b) to read “NALFO members shall require its undergraduate applicants to have a 2.5 cumulative grade point average in order to be eligible to apply for membership in that organization”

2nd: Melodie Maldonado (Sigma Lambda Upsilon)

Discussion: concerns regarding the implementation of changing the member organizations documentation; strict guidelines are being imposed onto the organization, individual member organization standards are strict enough for those who they are serving on all different levels; member organizations are not comfortable with requiring fellow member organizations to implement within their organizations; what is the purpose of this motion, we should be reviewing the entire academic plans not only gpa, we are given up a little bit of our liberty for security;

FRAM: to add second term freshman have a 2.75, Julio did not accept; to say a minimum of a 2.5, Julio accepted

SUNRISE CLAUSE: for all organizations to have implemented and changed their internal documentation by January 1, 2008

Favor: opposed – Lambda Pi Upsilon, Alpha Psi Lambda, Omega Delta Phi, Sigma Lambda Gamma, Sigma Iota Alpha, passes

Move to create under Article II, Section 2.01 (k) Member organizations should be governed by a national governing body of which executive members are alumni. Governing body includes the following roles: national president, the succeeding national officer, the national finance officer/treasurer, the national new member intake officer and the national expansion officer.

2nd: Alex Yepes (Phi Iota Alpha)

Discussion: how does it work for those boards that have undergrads serving their current boards, clarified by its only specific roles; in order to have a national governing body do organizations have to be national?; will current organizations have to put these positions into place if they do not exist currently? Clarification that so long as that position exists on any level of your national governing body; this should be used as a best practices suggestions instead of a bylaw.

FRAM: Shall be governed by alumni members by a national governing body whose executive officers shall include but are not limited to the equivalent a national president, the next senior ranking national officer, and the national finance officer/treasurer. Additionally, each organization shall have alumni responsible for national new member intake and national expansion; Julio accepted

Favor: opposed – Gamma Phi Omega, Alpha Psi Lambda, Alpha Pi Sigma, Kappa Delta Chi, Sigma Lambda Gamma, passes

Division of House: roll call vote refer to chart p. 4 5th proposal, passes

X. AFA PROPOSAL

Move to place the proposal back on the table

2nd: Yanira Rodriguez. (Lambda Pi Upsilon)

Favor: oppose – Melodie Maldonado (Sigma Lambda Upsilon), passes

Move to have a business meeting at AFA 2007 in Cincinnati. NALFO business meeting would continue as scheduled in Charlotte, NC, Spring 2007. Convention would resume in Spring 2008; Ruby accepts.

2nd: Yanira R. (Lambda Pi Upsilon)

Discussion: comments on the benefit of attending AFA conferences, conference dates usually fall on or around Sigma Lambda Upsilon's founding date causing them to be unable to attend the NALFO meeting; concern that we are requesting a lot of travel of the member organizations; can we discuss when the NALFO business would be conducted, most likely; when would the awards be conducted, possibly work logistics out with AFA.

FRAM: to strike first sentence completely and strike alumni, Ruby accepts; NALFO business meeting would continue as schedule in Charlotte, Spring 2007

Favor: opposed – Melodie Maldonado (Sigma Lambda Upsilon), passes

XI. MEETING ADJOURNED AT 5:50 P.M.